

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,320 02/06/2001		02/06/2001	Donald Penner	MSU 4.1-546	4169
21036	7590	10/30/2002			
	& MOYN		EXAMINER		
2190 COMMONS PARKWAY OKEMOS, MI 48864				PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1616	/3
				DATE MAILED: 10/30/2002	J

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/777,320

Applicant(s)

Penner et al

Examiner

Alton Pryor

Art Unit 1616



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address			
Period :	for Reply					
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3	_ MONTH(S) FROM			
	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In	no event, however, may a reply	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
- If the	g date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th					
	period for repty is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the					
	uply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of t I patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	his communication, even if timely	y filed, may reduce any			
Status	, 		·			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 3, 20	02	·			
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-final.				
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex particle.	•	· ·			
-	tion of Claims					
4) 🗶	Claim(s) 1-7, 12-18, 20-31, 36-44, 53-65, and 71-	109	is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above, claim(s) <u>5, 6, 15-17, 29-31, 36-35</u>					
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-3, 7, 13, 14, 18, 20-22, 24-27, 55, and	109	is/are rejected.			
7) 💢	Claim(s) 4, 12, 23, 28, 40-44, 53, 54, and 56		is/are objected to.			
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	ation Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed onis/are	a) accepted or b)	\square objected to by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	to this Office action.				
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	riority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) L	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:		·			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	 Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the 	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_			
14) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	•				
· _	The translation of the foreign language provisiona					
15)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic					
Attachm		product and or or or or	C. 33 120 and/or 1211			
_	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PT	0-413) Paper No(s)			
2) No	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
3) 🔲 Inf) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Dther:					

Application/Control Number: 09777320 Page 2

Art Unit: 1616

Claim Rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3,5,7,13,14,18,20-22,24-27,55,109 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hoskaka et al (WO 9631121; 10/10/96) and Dahmen et al (De 19635060; 3/5/98).

Hoskaka discloses a composition comprising a herbicide and an organosilicon compound. Hoskaka teaches that the composition can be applied to foliage. Hoskaka does not the composition comprising isoxaflutole. Hoskaka does not teach that the composition's retention on foliage is reduced. Dahmen teaches a herbicide composition comprising isoxaflutole. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to combine the prior art compositions. One would have been motivated to do this because both prior art references individually teach herbicide compositions. Applicant provides no data to distinguish between instant invention and prior art inventions where composition remains on foliage. Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to expect for all compositions comprising a herbicide and an organosilicon compound to have similar chemical properties including those compositions of the instant claims.

Art Unit:

-d. . .

Claim Objection

Claims 4,12,23,28,40-44,53,54,56 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton Pryor whose telephone number is (703) 308-4691. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jose Dees, can be reached on (703) 308-4628. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Alton Pryor

Primary Examiner, AU 1616

10/29/02